



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/956,898	09/21/2001	Takahiro Matsumura	990377B	3458
23850	7590 07/08/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW			CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY	
SUITE 1000	EI, NW	Y		PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		2686	
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/956,898	MATSUMURA, TAKAHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joy K Contee	2686			
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum si - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months is earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. 5 of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. BO) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 21 September 2001				
· _ ·					
3) Since this application is in condition	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>ఈ/21</u> is/afe	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any obje					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to		g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in a of the priority documents have bee anal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
AM1					
Attachment(s)	∴	C (DTO 446)			
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-4</u> .		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heinonen et al ("Heinonen"), U.S. Patent No. 5,857,151.

Regarding claims 1,4,7 and 10, Heinonen discloses a telephone set identifying method and apparatus, and PC card comprising the latter, and a computer-readable storage medium which stores a program for causing a computer which couples to a portable telephone set, for identifying a type of portable telephone set to which a data processing apparatus is coupled, comprising the steps of:

identifying the type of the portable telephone set based on a response with respect to an operation start signal which is output to a data interface part of the portable telephone set (col. 1,lines 40-44).

Regarding claims 2, 5,8,11, Heinonen discloses a telephone set identifying method and apparatus, and PC card comprising the latter, and a computer-readable storage medium which stores a program for causing a computer which couples to a

Art Unit: 2686

portable telephone set for identifying a type of portable telephone set to which a data processing apparatus is coupled, comprising the steps of:

identifying the type of the portable telephone set based on a waveform of a signal output (i.e., reads on start-up signal) from a specific terminal (i.e., reads on selecting radio power) of a data interface part of the portable telephone set (col. 1,lines 40-50).

Regarding claims 3,6,9 and12, Heinonen discloses a telephone set identifying method and apparatus, and PC card comprising the latter, and a computer-readable storage medium which stores a program for causing a computer which couples to a portable telephone set for identifying a type of portable telephone set to which a data processing apparatus is coupled, comprising the steps of:

identifying the type of the portable telephone set based on an allocation pattern of input and output terminals of a data interface part of the portable telephone set (i.e., reads on alignment to sensitivities corresponding to different current input groups or pattern or allocation) (see col. 2, lines 56-62).

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Naoki et al. ("Naoki"), JP 09-259391.

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 13, Naoki discloses a processing apparatus operatively coupled between a communication equipment and an information processing apparatus, comprising:

an identifying part identifying a type of the communication equipment and outputting an identification signal (page 1, para. 0007); and

a switching part switching a communication protocol prestored for each type of the communication equipment, based on the identification signal (page 1, para. 0007, page 4, para. 0029).

Regarding claim 14, Naoki discloses the processing apparatus as claimed in claim 13, wherein the communication equipment is a mobile communication equipment (see Fig. 1, #4).

Regarding claim 15, Naoki discloses the processing apparatus as claimed in claim 14, wherein the mobile communication is a mobile telephone set capable of making a communication while moving or a personal handy-phone system telephone set unsuited for making a communication while moving (page 1, para. 0005).

Double Patenting

5. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Art Unit: 2686

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

6. Claims 1-12 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-5 of copending Application No. 09/957,079; and 09/957,081. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-12 of this application conflict with claims 1-15 of Application Nos. 09/957,079; and 09/957,081. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

7. Claims 13-15 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 13-15 of copending Application No. 09/956,899. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 13-15 of this application conflict with claims 1-15 of Application Nos. 09/956,899. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either

cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy K Contee whose telephone number is 703-308-0149. The examiner can normally be reached on M (alternating), T & Th, 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 703-305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 23, 2004

CHARLES APPIAH